

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

testator's intent by considering the whole will, and those cases which lay stress upon the matter of definition of the term "wearing apparel."

WILLS—CONTINGENT WILL.—Deceased wrote a letter to her aunt, asking her to dispose of her property. The first part of the writing was as follows: "On Sunday evening I go to St. Elizabeth's Hospital to have a slight operation. I do not anticipate any trouble, but no one never knows. If anything should happen to me, I want you * * * to do this for me. See that everything I have * * * goes to George B. G." Two days from the date thereof the writer was taken to the hospital, where the operation was performed, and some time later she left the institution fully recovered. About six months later she died. The letter was never sent to the aunt, but was delivered by deceased to George B. G., who offered it for probate. *Held*, a contingent will, and hence not entitled to probate. *Walker* v. *Hibbard*, (Ky., 1919) 215 S. W. 800.

The court distinguished those cases, on the one hand, where the instrument is written only to make provision against a death that might occur on account of, or as a result of, the specific thing assigned as a reason for writing the will, in which case it will be a contingent will, and those cases, on the other, where the causes assigned for writing it are merely a general statement of the reasons or a narrative of the conditions that induced the testator to make his will, in which case it will be a perfect testamentary instrument. In the latter class the Kentucky court placed Likefield v. Likefield, 82 Ky. 589; Bradford v. Bradford, 4 Ky. Law Rep. 947; and Forquer's Estate, 216 Pa. St. 331; and in the former Maxwell v. Maxwell, 3 Metc. (Ky.) 101, and Morrow's Appeal, 116 Pa. St. 440, as well as the instant case. For a discussion of the question involved see 18 Mich. L. Rev. 168, where In re Tinsley's Will (Ia., 1919), 174 N. W. 4, was considered. It will be noted that the Tinsley case was rightly decided if the test laid down in the instant case is applied, for the words there used, namely, "In case of any serious accident," are general and not specific. In the case at hand the court was correct when it held that the declarations of deceased at the time she delivered the letter to the supposed devisee were inadmissible to show that she intended to make the writing a permanent will. The authorities are in accord that to allow such a course would be to nullify the parol evidence rule, and would have the effect of permitting wills to be made partly by a writing and partly by parol. Where, however, there is a latent ambiguity in the instrument, such evidence is admitted in order to arrive at the real intent of the testator. See, on this point, the Maxwell and Forquer cases, supra.

WILLS—SUFFICIENCY OF DATE—OLOGRAPHIC WILL.—The date of an olographic will written thus, "9-8-18," was held to be insufficient under the civil code of Louisiana, which declares that in order to be valid such will "must be entirely written, dated, and signed by the hand of the testator." Succession of Beird, (La., 1919) 82 So. 881.

The statutory requirements as to olographic wills are strictly construed. A striking example of this strictness is furnished by the case of Succession

of Robertson, 49 La. Ann. 868, in which the date "1902" was held bad, the first three digits of which were printed. In Estate of Billings, 64 Cal. 427, the same result was reached where the month and day were written and the year printed. A fortiori if the instrument bears no date at all, it is invalid even though there is a recital therein that the testator is of a certain age. Estate of Martin, 58 Cal. 530. The same is true if the day of the month is entirely wanting. Heffner v. Heffner, 48 La. Ann. 1088. While the reason for the rigid construction of the date is impregnable, namely, that thereby fraudulent wills may be guarded against, it is submitted that such a date as appears in the instant case is better evidence of the verity of the instrument than would be the case if any one of the three items of the date were entirely missing. The Louisiana court, however, lays much stress on the lack of uniformity in the practice of substituting figures for the month and daysome persons writing the month first and the day second, others doing exactly the reverse. See, however, the reasoning in Estate of Chevallier, 159 Cal. 161, contra. It should be noted also that in the instant case O'Neill, J., concurred in the result, but was of the opinion that there could be no uncertainty as to the century when the year and decade are given. In conformity with this view is Estate of Lakemeyer, 135 Cal. 28. On the whole topic see the note in L. R. A. 1916 E, 499. Such a technical construction as was made in the principal case is justified, if at all, only because olographic wills are not favored. In many jurisdictions they are not effective unless executed under the same formalities as other wills.